

Robert Wood Foundation Committee Work and IOM Report

16.5 points

Criteria Description

Robert Wood Foundation Committee Work and IOM Report

5. Excellent

16.5 points

A clear and accurate description of the work of the Robert Wood Foundation Committee Initiative that led to the IOM report is presented.

4. Good

14.69 points

A description of the work of the Robert Wood Foundation Committee Initiative that led to the IOM report is presented. There are slight inaccuracies.

3. Satisfactory

13.04 points

A summary of the work of the Robert Wood Foundation Committee Initiative that led to the IOM report is presented. There are inaccuracies or misinterpretations.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

12.38 points

An incomplete description of the work of the Robert Wood Foundation Committee Initiative that led to the IOM report is presented.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

A description of the work of the Robert Wood Foundation Committee Initiative that led to the IOM report is omitted.

Key Messages Transforming Nursing

24.75 points

Criteria Description

Key Messages Transforming Nursing

5. Excellent

24.75 points

The four key messages that structure the IOM report are clearly outlined. A description of how these transformed nursing practice, nursing education and training, nursing leadership, and nursing workforce development are thoroughly discussed.

4. Good

22.03 points

The four key messages that structure the IOM report are outlined. A description of how these transformed key aspects of nursing is presented.

3. Satisfactory

19.55 points

The four key messages that structure the IOM report are generally outlined. A summary of how these transformed some aspects of nursing is presented, but there are some inaccuracies.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

18.56 points

Fewer than four key messages are presented, and the messages contain inaccuracies. An incomplete discussion on how they transformed nursing is presented.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

The four key messages are omitted.

▼ State-Based Action Coalitions and Their Help in Advancing Goals form IOM Report

24.75 points

Criteria Description

State-Based Action Coalitions and Their Help in Advancing Goals form IOM Report

5. Excellent

24.75 points

The role of state-based action coalitions is thoroughly discussed. A detailed and accurate discussion of how they help advance the goals in the IOM report is presented.

4. Good

22.03 points

The role of state-based action coalitions is discussed. A discussion of how they help advance the goals in the IOM report is presented.

3. Satisfactory

19.55 points

The role of state-based action coalitions is summarized. A summary of how they help advance the goals in the IOM report is presented.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

18.56 points

The role of state-based action coalitions is incomplete. It is unclear how these advance the goals in the IOM report.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

The role of state-based action coalitions is omitted.

▼ State Action Coalition Initiatives Advancing Nursing Profession

33 points

Criteria Description

State Action Coalition Initiatives Advancing Nursing Profession

5. Excellent

33 points

Two initiatives spearheaded by a state action coalition and how they advance the nursing profession are thoroughly discussed. The discussion demonstrates a clear understanding of the state action committee and the role in advancing the nursing profession.

4. Good

29.37 points

Two initiatives spearheaded by a state action coalition and how they advance the nursing profession are discussed.

3. Satisfactory

26.07 points

Two initiatives spearheaded by a state action coalition are summarized. How these initiatives advance the nursing profession are generally discussed. There are some inaccuracies.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

24.75 points

Only one initiative spearheaded by a state action coalition is presented. The narrative contains omissions and inaccuracies. How these initiatives advance the nursing profession are incomplete.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Initiatives spearheaded by a state action coalition are omitted.

▼ Barriers to Advancement

33 points

Criteria Description

Barriers to Advancement

5. Excellent

33 points

Barriers to advancement that currently exist in the state are thoroughly explored and how nursing advocates in the state overcome barriers are thoroughly described.

4. Good

29.37 points

Barriers to advancement that currently exist in the state and how nursing advocates in the state overcome barriers are described.

3. Satisfactory

26.07 points

Barriers to advancement that currently exist in the state are presented. How nursing advocates in the state overcome barriers is summarized. There are inaccuracies, or more information is needed.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

24.75 points

Barriers to advancement that currently exist in the state are unclear. How nursing advocates in the state overcome barriers is incomplete.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Barriers to advancement that currently exist in the state are omitted. How nursing advocates in the state overcome barriers is omitted.

▼ Thesis Development and Purpose

8.25 points

Criteria Description

Thesis Development and Purpose

5. Excellent

8.25 points

Thesis is comprehensive and contains the essence of the paper. Thesis statement makes the purpose of the paper clear.

4. Good

7.34 points

Thesis is clear and forecasts the development of the paper. Thesis is descriptive and reflective of the arguments and appropriate to the purpose.

3. Satisfactory

6.52 points

Thesis is apparent and appropriate to purpose.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

6.19 points

Thesis is insufficiently developed or vague. Purpose is not clear.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Paper lacks any discernible overall purpose or organizing claim.

▼ Argument Logic and Construction

8.25 points

Criteria Description

5. Excellent

8.25 points

Clear and convincing argument presents a persuasive claim in a distinctive and compelling manner. All sources are authoritative.

4. Good

7.34 points

Argument shows logical progression. Techniques of argumentation are evident. There is a smooth progression of claims from introduction to conclusion. Most sources are authoritative.

3. Satisfactory

6.52 points

Argument is orderly but may have a few inconsistencies. The argument presents minimal justification of claims. Argument logically, but not thoroughly, supports the purpose. Sources used are credible. Introduction and conclusion bracket the thesis.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

6.19 points

Sufficient justification of claims is lacking. Argument lacks consistent unity. There are obvious flaws in the logic. Some sources have questionable credibility.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Statement of purpose is not justified by the conclusion. The conclusion does not support the claim made. Argument is incoherent and uses noncredible sources.

▼ Mechanics of Writing (includes spelling, punctuation, grammar, language use)

8.25 points

Criteria Description

Mechanics of Writing (includes spelling, punctuation, grammar, language use)

5. Excellent

8.25 points

Writer is clearly in command of standard, written, academic English.

4. Good

7.34 points

Prose is largely free of mechanical errors, although a few may be present. A variety of sentence structures and effective figures of speech are used.

3. Satisfactory

6.52 points

Some mechanical errors or typos are present, but they are not overly distracting to the reader. Correct sentence structure and audience-appropriate language are used.

2. Less Than Satisfactory

6.19 points

Frequent and repetitive mechanical errors distract the reader. Inconsistencies in language choice (register), sentence structure, or word choice are present.

1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

Surface errors are pervasive enough that they impede communication of meaning. Inappropriate word choice or sentence construction is used.

▼ Paper Format (use of appropriate style for the major and assignment)

3.3 points

Criteria Description

Paper Format (use of appropriate style for the major and assignment)

5. Excellent 3.3 points

All format elements are correct.

4. Good 2.94 points

Appropriate template is fully used. There are virtually no errors in formatting style.

3. Satisfactory 2.61 points

Appropriate template is used. Formatting is correct, although some minor errors may be present.

2. Less Than Satisfactory 2.47 points

Appropriate template is used, but some elements are missing or mistaken. A lack of control with formatting is apparent.

1. Unsatisfactory 0 points

▼ **Documentation of Sources**

4.95 points

Criteria Description

Documentation of Sources (citations, footnotes, references, bibliography, etc., as appropriate to assignment and style)

5. Excellent 4.95 points

Sources are completely and correctly documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, and format is free of error.

4. Good 4.41 points

Sources are documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, and format is mostly correct.

3. Satisfactory 3.91 points

Sources are documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, although some formatting errors may be present.

2. Less Than Satisfactory 3.71 points

Documentation of sources is inconsistent or incorrect, as appropriate to assignment and style, with numerous formatting errors.

1. Unsatisfactory 0 points

Total 165 points