

## ▼ Current or Emerging Health Care Law or Federal Regulation

33 points

## Criteria Description

Current or Emerging Health Care Law or Federal Regulation and Effect on Nursing Practice, Role and Responsibility

**5. Excellent**

33 points

Discussion on emerging health care law or federal regulation, and effect on nursing practice and the nurse role, and responsibility is well-developed.

**4. Good**

29.37 points

Emerging health care law or federal regulation, and effect on nursing practice and the nurse role, and responsibility is described. Some information or detail is needed for clarity.

**3. Satisfactory**

26.07 points

Emerging health care law or federal regulation, and effect on nursing practice and the nurse role, and responsibility is summarized. There are some inaccuracies.

**2. Less Than Satisfactory**

24.75 points

Emerging health care law or federal regulation is incomplete. Effect on nursing practice and nurse role and responsibility is partially described.

**1. Unsatisfactory**

0 points

Emerging health care law or federal regulation is omitted. The law or regulation presented is not relevant to health care.

## ▼ Quality Measures Pay for Performance, Patient Outcomes, and Effect on Nursing Practice

33 points

## Criteria Description

Quality Measures Pay for Performance, Patient Outcomes, and Effect on Nursing Practice

**5. Excellent**

33 points

A thorough and insightful discussion on how quality measures and pay for performance affect patient outcomes, and how they affect nursing practice, expectations, and responsibilities of the nursing role, is presented.

**4. Good**

29.37 points

Discussion on how quality measures and pay for performance affect patient outcomes, and how they affect nursing practice, expectations, and responsibilities of the nursing role, is presented. Some information is needed for clarity.

**3. Satisfactory**

26.07 points

Summary on how quality measures and pay for performance affect patient outcomes, and how they affect nursing practice, expectations, and responsibilities of the nursing role, is presented. There are inaccuracies or slight omissions.

**2. Less Than Satisfactory**

24.75 points

Discussion on how quality measures and pay for performance affect patient outcomes, and how they affect nursing practice, expectations, and responsibilities of the nursing role, is incomplete.

**1. Unsatisfactory**

0 points

**Professional Nursing Leadership and Management Roles**

33 points

**Criteria Description**

Professional Nursing Leadership and Management Roles

**5. Excellent**

33 points

A well-developed discussion on professional nursing leadership and management roles, and the importance of these roles in responding to emerging trends and patient safety and quality care, is presented. The discussion demonstrates a thorough understanding of the nursing profession in emerging trends and patient care in a diverse health setting.

**4. Good**

29.37 points

A discussion on professional nursing leadership and management roles, and the importance of these roles in responding to emerging trends and patient safety and quality care, is presented. Some detail or information is needed for clarity.

**3. Satisfactory**

26.07 points

A summary on professional nursing leadership and management roles, and the importance of these roles in responding to emerging trends and patient safety and quality care, is presented. There are inaccuracies or slight omissions.

**2. Less Than Satisfactory**

24.75 points

An incomplete discussion on professional nursing leadership and management roles and the importance of these roles in responding to emerging trends and patient safety and quality care is presented.

**1. Unsatisfactory**

0 points

**Predict Change in Nursing Roles and Nursing Practice**

33 points

**Criteria Description**

Predict Change in Nursing Roles and Nursing Practice

**5. Excellent**

33 points

Predictions for how the practice of nursing and nursing roles will grow or transform within the next 5 years to respond to upcoming trends or predicted issues in health care are thoroughly discussed. The predictions are based on evidence and highly relevant to emerging trends.

**4. Good**

29.37 points

Predictions for how the practice of nursing and nursing roles will grow or transform within the next 5 years to respond to upcoming trends or predicted issues in health care are discussed. The predictions are generally supported and realistic.

**3. Satisfactory**

26.07 points

Insert Satisfactory CoA summary on professional nursing leadership and management roles, and the importance of these roles in responding to emerging trends and patient safety and quality care, is presented. There are inaccuracies or slight omissions. Predictions for how the practice of nursing and nursing roles will grow or transform within the next 5 years to respond to upcoming trends or predicted issues in health care are summarized. The predictions are realistic, but there are inaccuracies or slight omissions. nent 2 Descriptor

**2. Less Than Satisfactory**

24.75 points

Predictions for how the practice of nursing and nursing roles will grow or transform within the next 5 years to respond to upcoming trends or predicted issues in health care are incomplete. The predictions are unrealistic or lack evidence for support.

**1. Unsatisfactory**

0 points

**▼ Thesis Development and Purpose**

8.25 points

**Criteria Description**

Thesis Development and Purpose

**5. Excellent**

8.25 points

Thesis is comprehensive and contains the essence of the paper. Thesis statement makes the purpose of the paper clear.

**4. Good**

7.34 points

Thesis is clear and forecasts the development of the paper. Thesis is descriptive and reflective of the arguments and appropriate to the purpose.

**3. Satisfactory**

6.52 points

Thesis is apparent and appropriate to purpose.

**2. Less Than Satisfactory**

6.19 points

Thesis is insufficiently developed or vague. Purpose is not clear.

**1. Unsatisfactory**

0 points

Paper lacks any discernible overall purpose or organizing claim.

**▼ Argument Logic and Construction**

8.25 points

**Criteria Description**

Argument Logic and Construction

**5. Excellent**

8.25 points

Clear and convincing argument presents a persuasive claim in a distinctive and compelling manner. All sources are authoritative.

**4. Good**

7.34 points

Argument shows logical progression. Techniques of argumentation are evident. There is a smooth progression of claims from introduction to conclusion. Most sources are authoritative.

**3. Satisfactory**

6.52 points

Argument is orderly but may have a few inconsistencies. The argument presents minimal justification of claims. Argument logically, but not thoroughly, supports the purpose. Sources used are credible. Introduction and conclusion bracket the thesis.

**2. Less Than Satisfactory**

6.19 points

Sufficient justification of claims is lacking. Argument lacks consistent unity. There are obvious flaws in the logic. Some sources have questionable credibility.

**1. Unsatisfactory**

0 points

Statement of purpose is not justified by the conclusion. The conclusion does not support the claim made. Argument is incoherent and uses noncredible sources.

**▼ Mechanics of Writing (includes spelling, punctuation, grammar, language use)**

8.25 points

**Criteria Description**

Mechanics of Writing (includes spelling, punctuation, grammar, language use)

**5. Excellent**

8.25 points

Writer is clearly in command of standard, written, academic English.

**4. Good**

7.34 points

Prose is largely free of mechanical errors, although a few may be present. A variety of sentence structures and effective figures of speech are used.

**3. Satisfactory**

6.52 points

Some mechanical errors or typos are present, but they are not overly distracting to the reader. Correct sentence structure and audience-appropriate language are used.

**2. Less Than Satisfactory**

6.19 points

Frequent and repetitive mechanical errors distract the reader. Inconsistencies in language choice (register), sentence structure, or word choice are present.

**1. Unsatisfactory**

0 points

Surface errors are pervasive enough that they impede communication of meaning. Inappropriate word choice or sentence construction is used.

**▼ Paper Format (use of appropriate style for the major and assignment)**

3.3 points

**Criteria Description**

Paper Format (use of appropriate style for the major and assignment)

**5. Excellent**

3.3 points

All format elements are correct.

**4. Good**

2.94 points

Template is fully used; There are virtually no errors in formatting style.

**3. Satisfactory**

2.61 points

Appropriate template is used. Formatting is correct, although some minor errors may be present.

**2. Less Than Satisfactory**

2.47 points

Template is used, but some elements are missing or mistaken; lack of control with formatting is apparent.

**1. Unsatisfactory**

0 points

Template is not used appropriately, or documentation format is rarely followed correctly.

## ▼ Documentation of Sources

4.95 points

### Criteria Description

Documentation of Sources (citations, footnotes, references, bibliography, etc., as appropriate to assignment and style)

#### 5. Excellent

4.95 points

Sources are completely and correctly documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, and format is free of error.

#### 4. Good

4.41 points

Sources are documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, and format is mostly correct.

#### 3. Satisfactory

3.91 points

Sources are documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, although some formatting errors may be present.

#### 2. Less Than Satisfactory

3.71 points

Documentation of sources is inconsistent or incorrect, as appropriate to assignment and style, with numerous formatting errors.

#### 1. Unsatisfactory

0 points

**Total** 165 points